



GLEN RIDGE
CONGREGATIONAL
CHURCH

United Church of Christ

195 Ridgewood Avenue, Glen Ridge, NJ 07028

Telephone: (973) 743-5596

Web Site: www.glenridgecong.org

4 April 2011

Mr. Michael J. Rohal, Administrator
Borough of Glen Ridge
P.O. Box 66
Glen Ridge, NJ 07028

Dear Michael:

The church is grateful to the Glen Ridge Police and Montclair Fire Department for their quick response to our electrical fire on Saturday. We were just cleaning up from the Rummage Sale when one of the ballasts in a fluorescent light fixture caught fire. There happened to be a volunteer fireman from another town in the building at the time. He grabbed an extinguisher and put the fire out. Still it could have been much worse. The Fire Chief who arrived moments later said this could have happened when no one was in the building with disastrous results. For that matter had it happened an hour earlier with the building full for the Rummage Sale, it also could have been quite a bit worse!

Please share our gratitude to the police and fire officers for their help. We are glad to have them on call.

All the best,

Joseph David Stinson

KENNETH J. LUCIANIN
Commissioner

THOMAS F. FLANAGAN
Acting Clerk



WAYNE J. FORREST
Executive Director

JAMES M. PIRO
General Counsel

600 Wilson Avenue, Newark, New Jersey 07105
(973) 817-5700
(973) 817-5738- fax
www.pvsc.com

March 28, 2011

Louise M. Palagano
Municipal Clerk
Township of Bloomfield
1 Municipal Plaza
Bloomfield, NJ 07003-3487

Dear Municipal Clerk Palagano:

Please be advised that your letter dated March 23, 2011, and accompanying Resolution, which you sent to James M. Piro, Esq. (Passaic Valley Sewerage Authority) has been forwarded to me for response.

First, I want to thank you for the support your Mayor and Council expressed for the efforts of the Governor and myself in trying to better manage and operate the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission in providing services to the municipalities in our district. In doing so, we have restructured the agency, eliminated several positions, terminated employees, and closely developed a budget that would provide for no increase in rates. As you may know, user charge rates and a municipality's total bill for 2011 is determined from the prior year's usage for the 12 month period from October 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010, along with the PVSC's projected operating costs and other revenue income. The 2011 budget for the agency was developed in the fall of 2010, introduced in December 2010, and ultimately was passed by the Commission in January 2011.

At this point we are unable to do what you suggest for several reasons. The only monies that you refer to that have been saved from employee terminations and salary reductions are not yet known. There are currently several important positions that need to be filled in order to continue to effectively operate the plant. Second, the salary rollback is presently being legally challenged by one of our employee labor unions.

Accordingly, when we begin to develop our budget for 2012 we may be in a better position to know the actual dollar savings realized. It will be at that time the ratepayers will see the benefit of our cost saving actions.

If you have any questions or need any further information, please feel free to contact me at 973-817-5700 or at the above listed address. Again, thank you for your support and for writing to us on this important matter.

Very truly yours,



Wayne J. Forrest
Executive Director

C: Honorable Chris Christie, Governor
James M. Piro, Esq.
Senator Ronald L. Rice
Senate President, Steven M. Sweeney
Assemblyman Ralph A. Caputo
New Jersey League of Municipalities
New Jersey Conference of Mayors
Municipalities Served by Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission



ROBERT P. MARASCO
CITY CLERK
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY
07102

KENNETH LOUIS
DEPUTY CITY CLERK

March 25, 2011

Mr. Michale J. Rohal
Municipal Clerk
Borough of Glen Ridge
PO Box 66
Glen Ridge, New Jersey 07028-0066

RE: 7R9-e 031611; Resolution urging the Governor and the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners to return cost savings to municipalities served by the sewerage system.

Dear Mr. Rohal

Attached hereto for your information is a certified copy of the above-captioned Resolution sponsored by Council Member Carlos M. Gonzalez, urging action by the Governor and the State Legislature with regard to savings realized by cost-cutting actions initiated by Governor Chris Christie in the operations of the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners.

Your thoughtful consideration and support of this legislation is greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours

City Clerk

Attachment-Resolution 7R9-e 031611

RPM/CL/slm

Cc: The Members of the Municipal Council
The Honorable Cory A. Booker, Mayor
Mr. Kenneth Louis, Deputy City Clerk

Resolution of the City of Newark, N.J.

NO. 7R9E

Date of Adoption MAR 16 2011

Dept./ Agency: Municipal Council/City Clerk

Ratifying ()

Authorizing (✓)

Amending ()

Type of Service: Urging action by Governor Chris Christie and State Legislature

Purpose: Urging the Governor and the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners to return cost savings to municipalities served by the sewerage system.

Additional Comments: N/A

Sponsor(s): Council Member Carlos M. Gonzalez

Approved as to Form and Legality on Basis of Facts Set Forth

Factual contents certified by

Corporation Counsel

Title

Council Member

presents the following Resolution

Signature of Corporation Counsel
Signature of Council Member
Council of the City of Newark

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
 NEWARK, N.J.
 MAR 9 P 1:48
 ROOM 309

Whereas, Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission ("PVSC") operates a sewage treatment system that serves, and is funded via user charges paid by, forty-eight municipalities in Passaic, Bergen, Essex and Hudson Counties; and

Whereas, from 2005 until 2011, the amounts assessed by the PVSC to the municipalities served by the system have increased approximately 50%; and

Whereas, the Governor of the State of New Jersey and the current Executive director of the PVSC have taken recent actions to reduce the salaries, eliminate unnecessary employees and otherwise eliminate waste and mismanagement within the organization; and

Whereas, these actions should save the PVSC millions of dollars annually; and

Whereas, the PVSC established assessments to be charged to the municipalities served by the system for Year 2011 before the recent actions to affect savings; and

Whereas, yet additional savings may be found as the review of the organization's operations continues; and

Whereas, municipalities throughout New Jersey, including those served by the PVSC, are struggling to live within a 2% tax levy cap.

7R9E

- 2 -

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEWARK, NEW JERSEY THAT:

1. The Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission should take the necessary steps to establish a total of the savings realized by the cost-cutting actions thus far.
2. Appropriate the savings among the municipalities served by the system.
3. Reduce the 2011 assessments accordingly and issue the revised billing before the date by which municipalities must approve their 2011 budgets.
4. The PVSC should continue its efforts to improve management and eliminate waste in order to provide further relief to the municipalities for 2012 and beyond.
5. The Governor and the Legislature of the State of New Jersey take all reasonable and/or necessary actions to ensure that the PVSC can and does take the actions requested in this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT copies of this resolution be forwarded to Governor Chris Christie, Essex County Legislators, the New Jersey State League of Municipalities, the New Jersey Conference of Mayors and the Clerks of all municipalities served by the PVSC.

STATEMENT

This resolution urges the Governor and the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners to return cost savings to municipalities served by the sewerage system.

CERTIFIED TO BY ME THIS

MAR 23 2011

Do not use space below this line

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE ON FINAL PASSAGE														
Council Member	AYE	NAY	NV	AB	Council Member	AYE	NAY	NV	AB	Council Member	AYE	NAY	NV	AB
Amador	✓				Gonzalez				✓	Rice	✓			
Baraka	✓				Quintana	✓				Sharif	✓			
Crump	✓				Ramos, Vice Pres.	✓				Payne, President				✓
✓ Indicates Vote				AB - Absent				NV - Not Voting						

Adopted at a meeting of the Municipal Council of the City of Newark, N.J.,

MAR 16 2011

[Signature]
 President of the Council

[Signature]
 City Clerk

TOWNSHIP OF MONTCLAIR
PLANNING BOARD

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an application has been filed with the Montclair Planning Board by Kevin Burkhardt requesting approval of a minor subdivision to subdivide one (1) existing lot into two (2) building lots. The Applicant looks to create a single family residence on each of the new lots, with any variances, waivers, or relief the Planning Board may deem necessary for the property known as 429 Orange Road, Block 3904, Lot 16, which is within 200 feet of property owned by you, in the R-1 Zone, as described herein and more fully set forth in the materials submitted with the township.

This application has been put on the Planning Board secretary's calendar for a public hearing on Monday, April 11, 2011, at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building, 205 Claremont Avenue, Montclair, New Jersey. At said hearing, or on any adjourned date of the same, you may appear either in person or by counsel and present any comments, questions, or objection that you may have to the granting of this application.

The documents filed by the Applicant in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Office of the Planning and Zoning coordinator located in the Municipal Building. The Applicant, in accordance with the Requirements of the New Jersey Municipal Land Use Law, serves this Notice.

Respectfully,
Robert A. Gaccione, Esq.
GACCIONE POMACO, P.C.
524 Union Avenue
Belleville, NJ 07109
(973) 759-2807
Attorney for Applicant,
Kevin Burkhardt

April 6, 2011

Re: Support Municipal Priority Funding

Dear Mayor:

Giving municipal property taxpayers all the relief they deserve needs to be a part of "the new normal." For 10 years now, however, it has been standard operating procedure to give our State's struggling citizens less.

Over the past ten years, in fact, the State has denied local property taxpayers, statewide, over \$3.4 billion of relief.

As most veteran municipal officials know, New Jersey's two main formula-driven general municipal property tax relief programs are the Energy Tax Receipts Property Tax Relief program (Energy Tax) and the Consolidated Municipal Property Tax Relief Act program (CMPTRA). Though often referred to as "State Aid" programs, both are actually revenue replacement programs, intended to replace property tax relief funding that was, formerly, generated through taxes assessed and collected, specifically, to fund municipal programs and services.

Chapter 168, P.L. 1999, provided that in each year subsequent to State FY 2002, Energy Tax and CMPTRA distributions would annually increase at the rate of the Implicit Price Deflator – used to measure the impact of inflation on governmental spending. According to a decision rendered by our State Supreme Court in the 1980s, however, the State can supersede permanent statutes simply by including a provision, to that effect, in the Annual Appropriations Act (the State Budget). For the past ten years, that is exactly what has happened.

As the enclosed chart demonstrates, if the State had complied with those statutory funding requirements, the \$1,580,292,000 which municipalities shared in 2001 would have grown to \$2,182,502,000 this year. Instead, the Governor has recommended that \$1,293,794,000 be distributed. In this year alone, therefore, the State budget will be balanced by \$888,708,000 that should be returned to municipalities for property tax relief.

This year's total distribution should be roughly 38% more than the distribution in 2001. Based on that, you can roughly estimate what you would be receiving this year if the State complied with the aggregate funding requirements every year, and if it distributed to your municipality the same proportion of the total that your taxpayers originally received in 2001.

The amount of combined CMPTRA and Energy Tax that was distributed to your municipality in calendar year 2001 (or Fiscal year 2001-2002) plus 38% will give you that total.

Comparison of Actual Municipal Property Tax Relief Funding and Statutorily Required Municipal Property Tax Relief Funding from CMPTRA and the Energy Tax (ETR), pursuant to P.L. 1999, c. 168 (NJSA 52:27D-439). The law states the funding should be annually increased by the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD), also termed "the Cap Rate."

CMPTRA + ETR

(Fiscal Year)		(Calendar Year)	
Actual Appropriation		Statutorily Required	
(000)	IPD (Cap Rate)	(000)	
		(+ increase required)	
2002	1,580,292	2.5%	1,619,799
2003	1,590,292	1%	1,635,997
2004	1,598,084	2.5%	1,676,897
2005	1,623,253	3.5%	1,735,589
2006	1,623,938	4.5%	1,813,690
2007	1,626,150	5.5%	1,913,443
2008	1,623,939	5%	2,009,115
2009	1,597,357	6.5%	2,139,708
2010	1,565,230	0%	2,139,708
2011	1,293,794	2%	2,182,502
2012	1,293,794		
	(Proposed)		

FY 2012 (Statutorily Required) \$2,182,502,000
 FY 2012 (Proposed) \$1,293,794,000
 FY 2012 municipal revenues not distributed \$888,708,000

FY '03 - '12 (Statutorily required) \$18,866,448,000
 FY '03 - '12 (Actual*) \$15,435,831,000
 10 year state usage of local revenues \$3,430,617,000

(*Actual distributions from FY '03 through FY '11 plus proposed distribution for FY '12)

NOTICE TO PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY CUSTOMERS

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION OF THREE SUBPROGRAM COMPONENTS OF ITS ENERGY EFFICIENCY ECONOMIC STIMULUS PROGRAM IN ITS SERVICE TERRITORY ON A REGULATED BASIS AND ASSOCIATED COST RECOVERY AND FOR CHANGES IN THE TARIFF FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE, B.P.U.N.J. NO.15 ELECTRIC, AND THE TARIFF FOR GAS SERVICE, B.P.U.N.J. NO.15 GAS, PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 48:2-21, 48:2-21.1, AND N.J.S.A. 48:3-96.1 (Multi-Family, Hospitals and Municipal/Non-Profit Direct Install)

Notice of Filing And Notice of Public Hearings

Docket No. EO11010030

TAKE NOTICE that, Public Service Electric and Gas Company ("Public Service", "PSE&G", "the Company") filed a Petition with the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities ("Board", "BPU") in January 2011 seeking Board approval to implement and administer a PSE&G Energy Efficiency Economic Extension Program ("Program") and approval of an associated cost recovery mechanism. Approval of this filing would initially increase rates to be paid by the Company's electric customers by \$8.8 million over a 19 month period and would initially increase rates to be paid by the Company's gas customers by \$4.0 million over a 19 month period.

PSE&G seeks Board approval to implement an Energy Efficiency Economic Extension Program to extend the Hospitals, Multi-family and Municipal/Non-Profit Direct Install subprograms of its Energy Efficiency Economic program. Extension of these subprograms will ensure that PSE&G is able to address customers that it presently cannot process because the subprograms have exhausted their approved funding. PSE&G is proposing to extend these three subprogram offerings under the same process, terms and conditions as currently approved by the Board while seeking approval of additional expenditures of approximately \$105.2 million.

PSE&G proposes to recover all Program costs through a new separate component of the electric and gas RGGI Recovery Charge ("RRC") entitled Energy Efficiency Economic Extension Program. The Energy Efficiency Economic Extension Program component will be applicable to all electric and gas rate schedules. The component would be reviewed and modified in an annual filing. The Company is requesting that any over/under recovery of actual revenue requirements compared to revenues would be deferred. In calculating the monthly interest on net over and under recoveries, the interest rate would be based upon the Company's interest rate obtained on its commercial paper and/or bank credit lines utilized in the preceding month. The proposed RRC, if approved by the Board, is shown in Table #1.

Table #2 and #3 provide customers with the approximate net effect of the proposed increase in rates relating to the Energy Efficiency Economic Extension Program, if approved by the Board. The annual percentage increase applicable to specific customers will vary according to the applicable rate schedule and the level of the customer's usage. The approximate effect of the proposed increase on typical electric and gas residential monthly bills, if approved by the Board, is illustrated in Table #4 and #5.

Based on the filing, a typical residential electric customer using 780 kilowatthours per summer month and 7,360 kilowatthours on an annual basis would see an initial increase in the annual bill from \$1,403.96 to \$1,404.92, or \$0.96 or approximately 0.07%. Based upon current estimates and assuming full participation, the anticipated total impact for the same residential electric customer will be approximately \$12.56 through 2023.

Under the Company's proposal, a residential heating customer using 100 therms per month during the winter months and 660 therms on an annual basis would see an initial increase in the annual bill from \$789.25 to \$789.91, or \$0.66 or approximately 0.08%. Moreover, under the Company's proposal, a typical residential gas heating customer using 160 therms per month during the winter months and 1,050 therms on an annual basis would see an initial increase in the annual bill from \$1,214.70 to \$1,215.78, or \$1.08 or approximately 0.09%. Based upon current estimates and assuming full participation, the anticipated total impact for the same residential gas customer will be approximately \$12.64 through 2023.

The Board has the statutory authority pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21, to establish the RGGI Recovery Charges to levels it finds just and reasonable. Therefore, the Board may establish the RGGI Recovery Charges at a level other than that proposed by Public Service. Therefore, the described charges may increase or decrease based upon the Board's decision.

Copies of the Company's filing are available for review at the Company's Customer Service Centers and at the Board of Public Utilities at Two Gateway Center, Suite 801, Newark, New Jersey 07102.

The following dates, times and locations for public hearings have been scheduled on the Company's filing so that members of the public may present their views.

April 25, 2011 3:30 and 4:30 PM Bergen County Administration Building Freeholders' Public Meeting Room #540 1 Bergen County Plaza Hackensack, NJ 07601	April 27, 2011 3:30 and 4:30 PM Middlesex County Administration Building Freeholders' Meeting Room 1st Floor Meeting Room J.F. Kennedy Square New Brunswick, NJ 08901	April 28, 2011 3:30 and 4:30 PM Burlington County Administration Building Board of Chosen Freeholders' Boardroom, 1st Floor 49 Rancocas Road Mt. Holly, NJ 08060
---	--	---

In order to encourage full participation in this opportunity for public comment, please submit any requests for needed accommodations, such as interpreters, listening devices or mobility assistance, no less than 48 hours prior to the above hearings to the Board's Secretary at the following address. Customers may file written comments with the Secretary of the Board of Public Utilities at Two Gateway Center, Suite 801, Newark, New Jersey 07102 ATTN: Secretary Kristi Izzo whether or not they attend the public hearings.

Table #1 – RRC Charges

	Energy Efficiency Economic Extension Program Component of the RRC		Total RRC	
	Present Rate (Incl. SUT)	Proposed Rate (Incl. SUT)	Present Rate (Incl. SUT)	Proposed Rate (Incl. SUT)
RRC Electric – \$ per kWhr	\$0.000000	\$0.000133	\$0.000719	\$0.000852
RRC Gas – \$ per Therm	\$0.000000	\$0.001009	\$0.003339	\$0.004348

Table #2 – Impact By Electric Customer Class

Proposed Percentage Increases By Customer Class For Electric Service		
	Rate Class	% Increase
Residential	RS	0.07%
Residential Heating	RHS	0.08
Residential Load Management	RLM	0.07
General Lighting & Power	GLP	0.07
Large Power & Lighting – Secondary	LPL-S	0.08
Large Power & Lighting – Primary	LPL-P	0.10
High Tension – Subtransmission	HTS-S	0.11

The percent increases noted above are based upon current Delivery Rates and the applicable Basic Generation Service (BGS) charges and assumes that customers receive commodity service from Public Service Electric and Gas Company.

Table #3 – Impact By Gas Customer Class

Proposed Percentage Increases By Customer Class For Gas Service		
	Rate Class	% Increase
Residential Service	RSG	0.09%
General Service	GSG	0.09
Large Volume Service	LVG	0.10
Firm Transportation Gas Service	TSG-F	0.11
Non-Firm Transportation Gas Service	TSG-NF	0.13
Cogeneration Interruptible Service	CIG	0.15

The percent increases noted above are based upon current Delivery Rates and the Basic Gas Supply Service (BGSS) charges and assumes that customers receive commodity service from Public Service Electric and Gas Company.

Table #4 – Residential Electric Service

If Your Annual kWhr Use Is:	And Your Monthly Summer kWhr Use Is:	Then Your Present Monthly Summer Bill (1) Would Be:	And Your Proposed Monthly Summer Bill (2) Would Be:	Your Monthly Summer Bill Increase Would Be:	And Your Monthly Percent Increase Would Be:
1,600	170	\$33.59	\$33.61	\$0.02	0.06%
3,900	415	78.43	78.48	0.05	0.06
7,360	780	147.77	147.87	0.10	0.07
7,800	803	152.31	152.41	0.10	0.07
12,400	1,320	254.14	254.31	0.17	0.07

(1) Based upon current Delivery Rates and Basic Generation Service Fixed Pricing (BGS-FP) charges in effect April 1, 2011 and assumes that the customer receives BGS-FP service from Public Service.

(2) Same as (1) except includes the Energy Efficiency Economic Extension Program component of the RGGI Recovery Charge.

Table #5 – Residential Gas Service

If Your Annual Therm Use Is:	And Your Monthly Winter Therm Use Is:	Then Your Present Monthly Winter Bill (1) Would Be:	And Your Proposed Monthly Winter Bill (2) Would Be:	Your Monthly Winter Bill Increase Would Be:	And Your Monthly Percent Increase Would Be:
212	25	\$33.02	\$33.05	\$0.03	0.09%
424	50	60.19	60.24	0.05	0.08
660	100	117.12	117.22	0.10	0.09
1,050	160	183.94	184.11	0.17	0.09
1,312	200	228.51	228.71	0.20	0.09
1,972	300	339.78	340.08	0.30	0.09

(1) Based upon current Delivery Rates and Basic Gas Supply Service (BGSS-RSG) charges in effect April 1, 2011 and assumes that the customer receives commodity service from Public Service.

(2) Same as (1) except includes the Energy Efficiency Economic Extension Program component of the RGGI Recovery Charge.



PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY

Alexander C. Stern, Esq.
Assistant General Regulatory Counsel

NEW JERSEY'S 2011 RECYCLING POETRY CONTEST

Welcome to New Jersey's 2011 Recycling Poetry Contest. We are looking for young writers in grades 4 through 6 to contribute poems to be showcased in our 2012 recycling calendar. Entries must be postmarked no later than May 23, 2011.

Recycling is the law in New Jersey, and while the program enjoys a certain amount of popularity, the recycling rate has remained virtually stationary over the last several years. Additionally, we often hear from residents and students who tell us that many of New Jersey's schools aren't recycling. Therefore, in order to promote recycling in our schools, we think it is important to encourage as many students as possible to participate in this contest.

This year's recycling poetry contest is open to all New Jersey students, grades four through six. Schools must select no more than three (3) poems from each eligible grade level to submit to the NJ Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Recycling and Planning, for entry into the statewide contest. A review committee will then select 12 winning poems to be featured in the 2012 recycling calendar. This full-color calendar will be distributed at no cost to schools, local and state government agencies, libraries and others.

Students are asked to submit a poem of 100 words or less explaining why they believe recycling is an important component of New Jersey's solid waste management policy, and why it's important for all students and schools to participate in their local recycling programs. In reviewing the entries, the judges will look for understanding of theme, originality, ability to convey a concept, and creative thinking and writing. Winners at the state level will receive a prize in addition to being featured in the calendar.

The NJDEP Bureau of Recycling and Planning is sponsoring this contest as part of its ongoing public awareness and education effort. If you have any questions concerning this program, please contact Vicki Kerekes, Contest Coordinator, at 609-984-3438 or by e-mail at victoria.kerekes@dep.state.nj.us.

We look forward to receiving entries from your students!

CORE CURRICULUM CONTENT STANDARDS

Below are some of the Core Curriculum Content Standards that may be supported or practiced by the student participating in this contest, depending upon the content, development and design of their work.

English Language Arts Standards—Writing Grades 4, 5, and 6

Range of Writing

W.4.10, W.5.10, W.6.10---Write routinely over extended timeframes (time for research, reflection and revision) and shorter timeframes (a single sitting or a day or two) for a range of discipline-specific tasks, purposes and audiences.

N.J. World Class Standards---Science

5.4.P.G.1---Demonstrate emergent awareness for conservation, recycling, and respect for the environment.

CHECKLIST FOR POETRY SUBMITTALS

- Release Form is securely attached to each entry.
- Release Form is completed and signed by both the student and parent/guardian.
- Contest Coordinator Form is included with your submittals. (It is essential that we have someone at your school to contact if there is a question or problem.)
- The student's name appears on the **back** of the entry only.
- Entries must be postmarked by Monday, May 23, 2011. (The judging committee will meet immediately following this date, so late entries cannot be accepted.)
- Please submit a maximum of **three (3)** entries per eligible grade level (4th, 5th and 6th) from your school.
- Please call the Contest Coordinator, Vicki Kerekes, at 609-984-3438 if you have any questions.
- Send entries to: Vicki Kerekes, Contest Coordinator
Mail Code 401-02C
NJ Dept. of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Recycling and Planning
PO Box 420
Trenton, NJ 08625-0420

2011 TEACHER/VOLUNTEER CONTEST COORDINATOR

If you are coordinating the New Jersey 2011 Recycling Poetry Contest at your school, please provide us with the following information, in case we need to contact you regarding entries from your school.

Name: _____

School: _____

Street Address: _____

Town: _____ County: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____ E-Mail _____

Position: _____

How many students participated at your school? _____

Please use the following space for any comments or suggestions that you may have regarding the contest or the materials that you received in this packet.

Please accept our sincere thanks for your willingness to make the extra effort required to offer the students at your school the opportunity to participate in this contest. Good luck to all!

**2011 NEW JERSEY RECYCLING POETRY CONTEST
RELEASE FORM**

School: _____

Street Address: _____ Town: _____

County: _____ Phone: _____

Student's Name: _____ Grade: _____

Home Address: _____

City: _____ Zip Code: _____

Home Phone: _____

Student's/Parent's E-Mail Address _____

I hereby certify that this poem was completely written by the student named above and agree that it may be offered for publication in the event it is selected as a state winner in the 2011 New Jersey Recycling Poetry Contest. It is also understood that this poem becomes the property of the NJ Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Recycling and Planning and will not be returned.

Signature of Student: _____

Signature of Parent (s) or Guardian: _____